

EXHIBIT B

DOCUMENTS WITHHELD FROM GORST LANDFILL CERCLA 104(e) REQUEST

1. Email from Nancy D. Glazier, Assistant Counsel, NAVFAC NW to Larry Tucker, dated March 29, 2002, SUBJECT: FW: Gorst Creek Landfill PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION. This document describes Ms. Glazier's observations and recommendations to NAVFAC NW, based on attending a meeting with Kitsap County and others regarding the Gorst Landfill. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege.
2. Email from Nancy D. Glazier, Assistant Counsel, NAVFAC NW to Pamela Kromholtz and Larry Tucker, dated April 1, 2002, SUBJECT: FW: Gorst Creek Landfill PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION. This email forwards the document described in number 1 to Ms. Kromholtz, as the Acting Environmental Department Head. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege.
3. Draft of Information Paper dated 13 March 2009 under preparation by Nancy D. Glazier, SUBJECT: Bremerton Auto Wrecking Landfill (BAWL) and intended for NAVFAC NW senior leadership. The document is withheld on the basis of the attorney-work product and attorney work product privilege.
4. Email from Nancy D. Glazier to CAPT Robert Schlesinger, Commanding Officer, NAVFAC NW, et al., dated April 8, 2009, SUBJ: Bremerton Auto Wrecking Landfill, Confidential. This document provides information and recommendations regarding the subject site and transmits an Information Paper dated April 7, 2009 regarding the site. The documents are withheld on the basis of attorney-client and attorney-work product privilege.
5. Draft of letter under preparation by Nancy D. Glazier to Paul J. Hirsch, dated April xx, 2009, regarding the Gorst Landfill. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney-work product privilege.
6. Email from Pamela Kromholtz, to Nancy D. Glazier, dated December 18, 2009, SUBJ: Comments and Questions - Gorst Landfill aka Bremerton Auto Wrecking (PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION). Document requests information from counsel and provides information to counsel regarding the site. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege.
7. Email from Pamela Kromholtz, to CAPT Greg Harshberger, Executive Officer (XO) and Nancy D. Glazier, Assistant

Counsel, dated December 18, 2009, SUBJECT: FW: Bremerton Auto Wrecking Landfill, Confidential. This document provides information to the XO regarding the subject site and forwards an email described in number 8, below. This document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege and attorney work product.

8. Email from CAPT Robert Schlesinger, Commanding Officer, NAVFAC NW to Admiral James Symonds, Commander Navy Region Northwest, Nancy D. Glazier, Assistant Counsel, et al., dated February 19, 2009, SUBJ: Bremerton Auto Wrecking Landfill, and includes the heading, "This document contains confidential attorney-work product, and/or information protected under the attorney-client privilege." The email contains information excerpted from an information paper prepared by Nancy D. Glazier, Assistant Counsel, regarding the BAWL aka Gorst Landfill. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client and attorney-work product privilege.

9. Email from Admiral Symonds, to Captain Schlesinger, dated February 19, 2009, to CAPT Robert Schlesinger, Nancy D. Glazier, et al., in reply to the email described in number 8 above. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client and attorney work product privilege.

10. Email from Nancy D. Glazier to John Gordon, et al., dated February 2, 2010, SUBJ: Gorst Landfill (PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION). This document provides legal advice and information regarding the site to the Navy representative. This document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client and attorney work-product privilege.

11. Email from Pam Kromholtz to James Brent, Counsel, Nancy D. Glazier, Assistant Counsel, et al., dated February 8, 2010, SUBJ: Gorst Landfill (PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION). This email provides information to attorneys representing the Navy on this matter. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege.

12. Notes of Dina Ginn, dated February 11, 2009. These notes document the observations of Dina Ginn of a meeting attended by Nancy D. Glazier, Assistant Counsel and Dina Ginn with Kitsap County officials. The notes were prepared for use by counsel representing the Navy regarding the Gorst Landfill. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client and attorney-work product privilege.

13. Email from Eric Hanger, Assistant Counsel to Larry Tucker, Dated November 17, 1999, SUBJ: Gorst Creek Landfill-FW Report. This email provides legal advice and recommendations to the Navy representative regarding the

subject site. This document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege.

14. Email and draft letter from Nancy D. Glazier to Larry Tucker, et al., dated April 2, 2002, SUBJ: Gorst Creek Landfill PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION. This email provides legal review of a draft Navy letter to the Department of Health regarding the subject site. This document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege.

15. Email and draft letter from Nancy D. Glazier to Larry Tucker, et al., dated April 1, 2002, SUBJ: Gorst Creek Landfill PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION. This email provides legal review of a draft Navy letter to the Department of Health regarding the subject site. This document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege.

16. Email from Nancy D. Glazier to Larry Tucker, et al., dated January 9, 2002, RE: Gorst Creek, Confidential. This email provides legal advice and recommendations to the Navy regarding the subject site. This document is withheld on the basis of attorney-client privilege.

17. Email from Larry Tucker to Patrick Vasicek, Eric Hanger and Dave Carpenter, dated May 30, 2000, SUBJ: FW: Gorst Landfill SHA comments. This email provides comments and observations concerning the subject report to the Deputy of the Environmental Dept. and counsel. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.

18. Email from Eric Hanger to Larry Tucker, et al., dated June 2, 2000, SUBJ: Gorst Landfill SHA comments. Attorney response and analysis of comments in response to number 17 above. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.

19. Email from Dave Carpenter to Eric Hanger, et al., dated June 2, 2000, SUBJ: Gorst Landfill SHA comments. This email provides further information on the subject document. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.

20. Email from Larry Tucker to Dave Carpenter, Eric Hanger, et al., dated June 2, 2000, SUBJ: Gorst Landfill SHA comments. This email is in reply to number 19. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.

21. Email from Dave Carpenter to Larry Tucker, Eric Hanger and Patrick Vasicek, dated June 2, 2000, SUBJ: Gorst Landfill SHA comments. This email is in reply to number 20. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.

22. Email from Nancy Glazier to Mike Brady and Larry Tucker, dated January 25, 2002, SUBJ: Gorst Creek/Ames Landfill. This email requests action by the real estate department in obtaining certain information regarding the subject landfill. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.

23. Email from Mike Brady to Stephen Lowery and Nancy Glazier, dated January 25, 2002, SUBJ: FW: Gorst Creek/Ames Landfill. This email forwards the email identified in number 22 and provides direction to the real estate staff. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.

24. Email from Stephen Lowery to Nancy Glazier and Mike Brady, dated January 31, 2002, SUBJ: Gorst Creek/Ames Landfill. This email responds to counsel's request identified in number 22. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.

25. Email from Nancy Glazier to Stephen Lowery, et al., dated February 11, 2002, SUBJ: Gorst Creek/Ames Landfill. This email is counsel's reply to email number 24. The document is withheld on the basis of attorney client privilege.